

Hearing Date: January 14, 2025, at 2:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)
Response Deadline: January 7, 2025, at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)

PRYOR CASHMAN LLP

Seth H. Lieberman
Matthew W. Silverman
Andrew S. Richmond
7 Times Square
New York, New York 10036
Telephone: (212) 421-4100
Facsimile: (212) 326-0806
Email: slieberman@pryorcashman.com
msilverman@pryorcashman.com
arichmond@pryorcashman.com

WHITE & CASE LLP

Ronald Gorsich (admitted *pro hac vice*)
555 South Flower Street, Suite 2700
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 620-7700
Facsimile: (213) 452-2329
Email: rgorsich@whitecase.com

– and –

– and –

WHITE & CASE LLP

Samuel P. Hershey
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020
Telephone: (212) 819-8200
Facsimile: (212) 354-8113
Email: sam.hershey@whitecase.com

WHITE & CASE LLP

Gregory F. Pesce (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Laura Baccash (admitted *pro hac vice*)
111 South Wacker Drive, Suite 5100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone: (312) 881-5400
Facsimile: (312) 881-5450
Email: gregory.pesce@whitecase.com
laura.baccash@whitecase.com

Co-Counsel to Mohsin Y. Meghji as Litigation Administrator

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:

CELSIUS NETWORK LLC, *et al.*,¹

Post-Effective Date Debtors.

)
) Chapter 11
)
) Case No. 22-10964 (MG)
)
) (Jointly Administered)
)

¹ The Post-Effective Date Debtors in these chapter 11 cases (the “Chapter 11 Cases”), along with the last four digits of each Post-Effective Date Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Celsius Network LLC (2148); Celsius KeyFi LLC (4414); Celsius Lending LLC (8417); Celsius Mining LLC (1387); Celsius Network Inc. (1219); Celsius Network Limited (8554); Celsius Networks Lending LLC (3390); Celsius US Holding LLC (7956); GK8 Ltd. (1209); GK8 UK Limited (0893); and GK8 USA LLC (9450). The location of Post-Effective Date Debtor Celsius Network LLC’s principal place of business and the Post-Effective Date Debtors’ service address in these Chapter 11 Cases is 50 Harrison Street, Suite 209F, Hoboken, New Jersey 07030.

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON THE LITIGATION ADMINISTRATOR'S SEVENTH
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN PROOFS OF CLAIM
(CROSS-DEBTOR DUPLICATE CLAIMS)**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a hearing on *The Litigation Administrator's Seventh Omnibus Objection to Certain Proofs of Claim (Cross-Debtor Duplicate Claims)* (the "Objection") will be held on **January 14, 2025, at 2:00 p.m., prevailing Eastern Time**, (the "Hearing") before the Honorable Martin Glenn, Chief United States Bankruptcy Judge (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Hearing will be **in a hybrid fashion** both in person and via Zoom for Government before the Honorable Martin Glenn, Chief United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Courtroom No. 523, located at One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004-1408. Those wishing to participate in the Hearing may appear before the Honorable Martin Glenn, Chief United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Courtroom No. 523, located at One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004-1408. Parties wishing to appear at the Hearing by Zoom, whether by making a "live" or "listen only" appearance before the Court, must register an electronic appearance (an "eCourtAppearance") through the Court's Electronic Appearance portal located on the Court's website at: <http://www.nysb.uscourts.gov/ecourt-appearances>. Electronic appearances (eCourtAppearances) must be entered no later than **4:00 p.m., the business day before the Hearing (prevailing Eastern Time) (i.e., on January 13, 2025)**. This Hearing is not expected to involve the taking of testimony (a "Non-Testimonial Hearing").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, with the permission of the Court, the public, including members of the media, may dial-in to Non-Testimonial Hearings remotely using the audio platform made available by the Court. Any person or entity that is permitted to dial-in to the Hearing by using the audio platform must register its appearance in the Electronic Appearance

portal located on the Court's website at: <http://www.nysb.uscourts.gov/ecourt-appearances>. Appearances must be entered no later than **4:00 p.m., the business day before the Hearing (prevailing Eastern Time) (i.e., on January 13, 2025).**

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that due to the large number of expected participants in the Hearing and the Court's security requirements for participating in a Zoom for Government audio and video hearing, all persons seeking to attend the Hearing remotely at 2:00 p.m., prevailing Eastern Time on January 14, 2025, must connect to the Hearing beginning at 1:00 p.m., prevailing Eastern Time on January 14, 2025. When parties sign in to Zoom for Government and add their names, they must type in the first and last name that will be used to identify them at the Hearing. Parties that type in only their first name, a nickname, or initials will not be admitted into the Hearing. When seeking to connect for either audio or video participation in a Zoom for Government Hearing, you will first enter a "Waiting Room" in the order in which you seek to connect. Court personnel will admit each person to the Hearing from the Waiting Room after confirming the person's name (and telephone number, if a telephone is used to connect) with their eCourtAppearance. Because of the large number of expected participants, you may experience a delay in the Waiting Room before you are admitted to the Hearing.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses to the relief requested in the Objection shall: (a) be in writing; (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and all General Orders applicable to chapter 11 cases in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York; (c) be filed electronically with the Court on the docket of *In re Celsius Network LLC*, No. 22-10964 (MG) by registered users of the Court's electronic filing system and in accordance with all General Orders applicable to chapter 11 cases in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the

Southern District of New York (which are available on the Court's website at <http://www.nysb.uscourts.gov>); (d) be filed in accordance with the *Order (I) Approving (A) Omnibus Claims Objection Procedures, (B) Omnibus Substantive Claims Objections and Form of Notice, and (C) Satisfaction Procedures and Form of Notice and (II) Modifying Bankruptcy Rule 3007(e)(6)* [Docket No. 2090] (the "Objection Procedures Order"); and (e) be served in accordance with the Objection Procedures Order by **January 7, 2025, at 4:00 p.m., prevailing Eastern Time** (the "Response Deadline"), to (i) Mohsin Y. Meghji, in his capacity as Litigation Administrator of Celsius Network LLC and its affiliated Post-Effective Date Debtors (the "Litigation Administrator"), (ii) the Post-Effective Date Debtors, and (iii) the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York with a copy to the Court's chambers.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that only those responses that are timely filed, served, and received will be considered at the Hearing. Failure to file a timely response by the Response Deadline may result in entry of a final order sustaining the Objection as requested by the Litigation Administrator.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Litigation Administrator reserves the right to seek an adjournment of the Hearing on any response to this Objection, which adjournment will be noted on the notice of agenda for the Hearing. The agenda will be served on the person designated by the claimant in its response.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that copies of all pleadings filed in these Chapter 11 Cases may be obtained free of charge by visiting the website of Stretto at <https://cases.stretto.com/Celsius>. You may also obtain copies of all pleadings filed in these

Chapter 11 Cases by visiting the Court's website at <http://www.nysb.uscourts.gov> in accordance
with the procedures and fees set forth therein.

New York, New York
Dated November 26, 2024

WHITE & CASE LLP

Samuel P. Hershey
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020
Telephone: (212) 819-8200
Facsimile: (212) 354-8113
Email: sam.hershey@whitecase.com

– and –

WHITE & CASE LLP

Ronald Gorsich (admitted *pro hac vice*)
555 South Flower Street, Suite 2700
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 620-7700
Facsimile: (213) 452-2329
Email: rgorsich@whitecase.com

– and –

WHITE & CASE LLP

Gregory F. Pesce (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Laura Baccash (admitted *pro hac vice*)
111 South Wacker Drive, Suite 5100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone: (312) 881-5400
Facsimile: (312) 881-5450
Email: gregory.pesce@whitecase.com
laura.baccash@whitecase.com

/s/ Seth H. Lieberman

PRYOR CASHMAN LLP

Seth H. Lieberman
Matthew W. Silverman
Andrew S. Richmond
7 Times Square
New York, New York 10036
Telephone: (212) 421-4100
Facsimile: (212) 326-0806
Email: slieberman@pryorcashman.com
msilverman@pryorcashman.com
arichmond@pryorcashman.com

*Co-Counsel to Mohsin Y. Meghji as Litigation
Administrator*

**THE LITIGATION ADMINISTRATOR’S SEVENTH OMNIBUS
OBJECTION TO CERTAIN PROOFS OF CLAIM
(CROSS-DEBTOR DUPLICATE CLAIMS)**

YOU SHOULD LOCATE YOUR NAME AND YOUR CLAIM(S) ON THE SCHEDULE ATTACHED TO EXHIBIT A HERETO. PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOUR CLAIM(S) MAY BE DISALLOWED, EXPUNGED, RECLASSIFIED, REDUCED, MODIFIED, OR OTHERWISE AFFECTED AS A RESULT OF THIS OBJECTION. THEREFORE, PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE AND THE ACCOMPANYING OBJECTION VERY CAREFULLY AND DISCUSS THEM WITH YOUR ATTORNEY. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE AN ATTORNEY, YOU MAY WISH TO CONSULT ONE.

Mohsin Y. Meghji, in his capacity as Litigation Administrator (the “Litigation Administrator”) of the above-captioned post-effective date debtors (prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, the “Debtors,” and after the Effective Date, the “Post-Effective Date Debtors,” as applicable), respectfully states as follows in support of this objection (the “Objection”):²

Relief Requested

1. By this seventh omnibus Objection, the Litigation Administrator seeks entry of an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A (the “Proposed Order”) disallowing and expunging the claims identified on Schedule 1 to Exhibit A (collectively, the “Cross-Debtor Duplicate Claims”) because the Litigation Administrator has determined each Cross-Debtor Duplicate Claim is duplicative of the corresponding proof of claim identified as the “Remaining Claim” on Schedule 1 to Exhibit A and the asserted liability is not an obligation of the Debtor against which the claim to be disallowed was filed. In support of this Objection, the Litigation Administrator submits the declaration of Kenneth Ehrler, a Managing Director at M3 Advisory Partners, LP (the “Ehrler Declaration”), attached hereto as Exhibit B.

² Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Objection shall have the meanings given to such terms in the (a) *Order (I) Approving (A) Omnibus Claims Objection Procedures, (B) Omnibus Substantive Claims Objections and Form of Notice, and (C) Satisfaction Procedures and Form of Notice and (II) Modifying Bankruptcy Rule 3007(e)(6)* [Docket No. 2090] (the “Objection Procedures Order”); or (b) *Modified Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Celsius Network LLC and its Debtor Affiliates (Modified for MiningCo Transaction)* [Dkt. No. 4289] (as amended, supplemented, or modified from time to time, the “Plan”).

Jurisdiction and Venue

2. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “Court”) has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, dated February 1, 2012. The Litigation Administrator confirms his consent, pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7008, to the entry of a final order or judgment by the Court in connection with this Objection to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

4. The bases for the relief requested herein are Sections 105 and 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and the Objection Procedures Order.

Claims Reconciliation Process

5. On October 5, 2022, all Debtors except for GK8 Ltd., GK8 UK, and GK8 USA LLC (collectively, the “GK8 Debtors”)³ filed their respective schedules of assets and liabilities [Docket No. 974] (as amended from time to time, the “Schedules”) pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1007 and the orders extending time to file schedules of assets and liabilities [Docket Nos. 57 and 431].

6. On November 16, 2022, the Court entered an order [Docket No. 1368] (the “Bar Date Order”) establishing January 3, 2023, at 5:00 p.m., prevailing Eastern Time (the “Bar Date”),

³ The GK8 Debtors, who filed their respective voluntary petitions for protection under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on December 7, 2022, filed their respective schedules on January 20, 2023, in their respective cases.

as the last date and time for most retail Account Holders to file proofs of claims based on prepetition claims (collectively, the “Proofs of Claims”) against any Debtor other than the GK8 Debtors, and January 10, 2023, at 5:00 p.m., prevailing Eastern Time (the “Governmental Bar Date”), as the last date and time for each governmental unit to file Proofs of Claim against any Debtor other than the GK8 Debtors. On January 10, 2023, the Court entered an order [Docket No. 1846] (the “Amended Bar Date Order”) extending both the Bar Date and the Governmental Bar Date to February 9, 2023, at 5:00 p.m., prevailing Eastern Time (the “Extended Bar Date”). To date, no bar date has been set as to claims against the GK8 Debtors.

7. Following entry of the *Memorandum Opinion Regarding Which Debtor Entities Have Liability for Customer Claims Under the Terms of Use* [Docket No. 2205] (the “Customer Claims Opinion”) on March 9, 2023, the Debtors extended the general claims bar date to April 28, 2023 for any claim affected by the amendment of the Schedules and Statements pursuant to the Customer Claims Opinion (the “Affected Account Holder Claims”). See Docket No. 2310. On April 18, 2023, the Court entered an order authorizing the Committee to file the Class Claim (as defined below) and to seek certification of the class of all account holders under Bankruptcy Rule 7023. Docket No. 2496 (the “Class Claim Order”). The Class Claim Order provided that “the bar date for claims set forth in the *Notice of Amended Bar Date for Submission of Proofs of Claim* . . . is tolled pending an order of the Court with respect to the certification of the putative class under Bankruptcy Rule 7023.” On July 21, 2023, the Court entered an order establishing August 2, 2023 at 5:00 p.m., prevailing Eastern Time, as the final bar date for Affected Account Holder Claims. See Docket No. 3066.

8. In the ordinary course of business, the Debtors maintained books and records (the “Books and Records”) that reflect, among other things, the nature and amount of the Debtors’ liabilities and amounts owed to their creditors.

9. The claims register for the Chapter 11 Cases (the “Claims Register”), prepared and maintained by Stretto, shows that, as of the filing of this Objection, approximately 33,598 Proofs of Claim have been filed against the Debtors, totaling approximately \$216,348,684,029,836,000. Due to the large number of claims in these Chapter 11 Cases, the Debtors sought and have been granted approval to file omnibus objections to certain claims in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Objection Procedures Order.

10. On February 19, 2023, the Debtors filed their first omnibus objection to certain claims, which was subsequently sustained by the Court. *See* Docket Nos. 2103, 2288.

11. On August 14, 2023, the Court entered the *Order (I) Approving the Settlement By and Among the Debtors and the Committee with Respect to the Committee Class Claim and (II) Granting Related Relief* [Docket No. 3288] (the “Class Claim Settlement”), which provided a comprehensive resolution of Proof of Claim No. 29046 (the “Class Claim”) filed by the Committee. The Class Claim asserted various non-contract claims against certain Debtor entities. The Class Claim Settlement resolved non-contractual claims for account holders that did not opt out of the Class Claim Settlement, thus, resolving all or part of numerous Proofs of Claim related thereto.

12. On March 21, 2023, the Court entered the *Order (I) Approving (A) the Settlement By and Among the Debtors, the Committee, and the Custody Ad Hoc Group and (B) the Election Form and (II) Granting Related Relief* [Docket No. 2291] (the “Custody Settlement”), which

resolved outstanding claims related to Custody accounts for account holders that did not opt out of the Custody Settlement, thus, resolving all or part of numerous Proofs of Claim related thereto.

13. On November 9, 2023, the Court entered the *Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order Confirming the Modified Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Celsius Network LLC and its Debtor Affiliates* [Docket No. 3972] (the “Confirmation Order”), pursuant to which the Court approved and confirmed the Plan. The Confirmation Order provides, in relevant part:

The Litigation Administrator may take such actions as the Litigation Administrator may determine to be necessary or desirable to carry out the purposes of the Plan. The Litigation Administrator shall have the authority to prosecute, settle, or otherwise resolve, without limitation, all Disputed Claims remaining as of the Effective Date (including any related Causes of Action that are not released, waived, settled, or compromised pursuant to the Plan). . . The Litigation Administrator shall serve as a representative of the Estates under section 1123(b) of the Bankruptcy Code for the purpose of collecting Recovery Causes of Action and enforcing Causes of Action belonging to the Estates related to Disputed Claims or Disputed Interests that are not released, waived, settled, compromised, or transferred pursuant to the Plan (including, for the avoidance of doubt, the Recovery Causes of Action, objections to Claims, and any other Causes of Action agreed to by the Plan Administrator and the Litigation Administrator).

Confirmation Order, ¶ 298; *see* Plan, Art. IV.G.1. (containing language substantially similar to ¶ 298 of the Confirmation Order) (emphasis added).

14. Furthermore, the Plan and the Confirmation Order make clear that, among other things, (a) the responsibilities of the Litigation Administrator include, without limitation, filing and prosecuting any objections to Claims or Interests or settling or otherwise compromising such Claims and Interests in accordance with the Plan and any applicable orders of the Court [*see* Plan, Art. IV.G.2.]; and (b) the Litigation Administrator, on behalf of the Post-Effective Date Debtors, specifically retain and reserve the right to assert any and all Causes of Action⁴ and related rights.

⁴ Under the Plan, “Causes of Action” encompass, among other things, “the right to object to or otherwise contest, recharacterize, reclassify, subordinate, or disallow Claims and Interests[.]” Plan, Art. I.A.34.

See Confirmation Order, ¶ 296. In other words, the Litigation Administrator, pursuant to the Plan, the Confirmation Order, and the Litigation Administrator Agreement, steps in the shoes of the Post-Effective Date Debtors to serve as the duly-appointed representative of the Estates for certain limited purposes.

15. Accordingly, to further the claims reconciliation process, the Litigation Administrator seeks approval to disallow and expunge the Cross-Debtor Duplicate Claims in accordance with the Plan, the Confirmation Order, and the Objection Procedures Order for the reasons set forth below.

Objection

16. Pursuant to section 502(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, a filed proof of claim is deemed allowed unless a party in interest objects thereto. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). Bankruptcy Rule 3007 provides certain grounds upon which “objections to more than one claim may be joined in an omnibus objection.” Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d). The Objection Procedures Order expands upon Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) and permits the Debtors to file an omnibus objection on additional grounds.

17. When asserting a proof of claim against a bankruptcy estate, a claimant must allege facts that, if true, would support a finding that the debtor is legally liable to the claimant. *In re Avaya, Inc.*, 608 B.R. 366, 369-70 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2019). Where the claimant alleges sufficient facts to support its claim, its claim is afforded *prima facie* validity. *See id.*

18. A party objecting to a proof of claim must only provide evidence sufficient to negate the *prima facie* validity of the claim by refuting one or more of the allegations in the filed claim. *See In re Arcapita Bank*, 2013 WL 6141616 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2013) (granting the debtors objection after the debtor came forward with evidence sufficient to refute a claim’s validity) (*citing In re Oneida, Ltd.*, 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009)). Once this occurs, the burden shifts

back to the claimant to prove the validity of the claim by a “preponderance of the evidence.” *See In re Residential Capital, LLC*, 2014 WL 3511198 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. July 15, 2014) (sustaining the objection where the claimant’s allegations related to the conduct of non-debtors) (quoting *Creamer v. Motors Liquidation Co. GUC Trust (In re Motors Liquidation Co.)*, Case No. 12-6074 (RJS), 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 143957, at *12-13 (S.D.N.Y. Sept 26, 2013)).

19. The claimant must prove the claim, not sit back while the objector attempts to disprove it. *See In re Bennett*, 83 B.R. 248, 252 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1988) (holding that debtor presented sufficient evidence to rebut the *prima facie* validity of claimant’s claim and that claimant failed to prove the claim by a preponderance of credible evidence).

20. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3007 and the Objection Procedures Order (as well as the powers granted to the Litigation Administrator under the Plan, the Confirmation Order, and the Litigation Administrator Agreement), the Litigation Administrator files this Objection to the 100 Cross-Debtor Duplicate Claims listed on **Schedule 1** to **Exhibit A** because the Litigation Administrator has determined, based upon a review and analysis of the Cross-Debtor Duplicate Claims, and a review of the Debtors’ Books and Records, that the Cross-Debtor Duplicate Claims are duplicative of other Proofs of Claim filed by or on behalf of the same claimant (or a successor thereto) on account of the same liability. The Litigation Administrator, therefore, seeks to disallow and expunge the Cross-Debtor Duplicate Claims in their entirety.⁵

⁵ To the extent that any of the claimants provide additional documentation with respect to the Cross-Debtor Duplicate Claims in response to this Objection, the Litigation Administrator reserves all rights to contest that such additional documentation should not be permitted, and the claim should be disallowed, as the claimant failed to meet its burden in a timely manner. To the extent, however, that any of the additional documentation is provided and the claim is held to constitute a timely filed proof of claim that is *prima facie* valid, the Litigation Administrator reserves all rights to further object to the proof of claim on any grounds permitted under applicable law.

21. Failure to disallow and expunge the Cross-Debtor Duplicate Claims will result in an unwarranted recovery against the Estates to the detriment of other creditors. Disallowance and expungement of these Cross-Debtor Duplicate Claims will provide for a Claims Register that accurately reflects the Proofs of Claim asserted against the Debtors. Accordingly, the Litigation Administrator respectfully requests that the Court disallow and expunge the Cross-Debtor Duplicate Claims from the Claims Register. Disallowance or expungement of the Cross-Debtor Duplicate Claims will not affect the “Remaining Claims” listed on Schedule 1, and the Post-Effective Date Debtors reserve the right to object to the Remaining Claims in the future on any grounds permitted by applicable law.

Compliance with the Objection Procedures and the Bankruptcy Rules

22. The Litigation Administrator respectfully submits that the content of this Objection is in full compliance with the Bankruptcy Rules and the Objection Procedures Order for the following reasons:

- (a) This Objection conspicuously states on the first page the following (emphasis original): **“YOU SHOULD LOCATE YOUR NAME AND YOUR CLAIM(S) ON THE SCHEDULE ATTACHED TO EXHIBIT A HERETO. PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOUR CLAIM(S) MAY BE DISALLOWED, EXPUNGED, RECLASSIFIED, REDUCED, MODIFIED, OR OTHERWISE AFFECTED AS A RESULT OF THIS OBJECTION. THEREFORE, PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE AND THE ACCOMPANYING OBJECTION VERY CAREFULLY AND DISCUSS THEM WITH YOUR ATTORNEY. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE AN ATTORNEY, YOU MAY WISH TO CONSULT ONE”**;⁶
- (b) This Objection states the title and identity of the objecting party (the Litigation Administrator) and states the grounds for the Objection;⁷
- (c) Pursuant to the Bankruptcy Rules and the Objection Procedures Order (and the powers granted to the Litigation Administrator under the Plan, the

⁶ See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(e)(1).

⁷ See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(e)(4).

Confirmation Order, and the Litigation Administrator Agreement), the Litigation Administrator is authorized to file this Objection on the grounds that:

- i. the claims are inconsistent with the Debtors' Books and Records;⁸
 - ii. the claims fail to specify sufficiently the basis for or provide sufficient supporting documentation to justify recovery of any amounts inconsistent with the Debtors' Schedules and Books and Records;⁹ and
 - iii. the claims duplicate other claims.¹⁰
- (d) The schedule to **Exhibit A** includes only the claims to which there is a common basis for in the Objection;¹¹
- (e) Such schedule states the grounds for objecting to each claim and provides a cross-reference to the pages in the Objection pertinent to the stated grounds;¹²
- (f) Claimants subject to this Objection are listed in alphabetical order by claimant name;¹³
- (g) The Objection is numbered consecutively with other omnibus objections that will be filed by the Litigation Administrator;¹⁴ and
- (h) The Objection contains no more than 250 claims.¹⁵

23. The Litigation Administrator further submits that notice and service of this Objection will be in full compliance with the Bankruptcy Rules for the following reasons:

- (a) The Objection will be filed with the Court and served upon (i) the affected claimant party set forth on each Proof of Claim subject to this Objection or

⁸ See Objection Procedures Order, Ex. 1, ¶ 1.a.

⁹ See Objection Procedures Order, Ex. 1, ¶ 1.d.

¹⁰ See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(d)(1); *see also* Objection Procedures Order, Ex. 1, ¶ 1.g.

¹¹ See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(e)(2).

¹² See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(e)(3).

¹³ See Objection Procedures Order, Ex. 1, ¶ 4; Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(e)(2).

¹⁴ See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(e)(5).

¹⁵ See Objection Procedures Order, ¶ 3.

their respective attorney of record, (ii) the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York (the “U.S. Trustee”), and (iii) parties that have filed a request for service of papers under Bankruptcy Rule 2002;¹⁶

- (b) With respect to service on claimants affected by this Objection, the Litigation Administrator will also serve each affected claimant with an objection notice to address the particular creditor, claim, and objection;¹⁷ and
- (c) This Objection will be set for hearing at least 30 days after the filing of this Objection.¹⁸

Reservation of Rights

24. The Litigation Administrator expressly reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement this Objection, and to file additional objections to any other claims that may be asserted against the Debtors and their Estates. Accordingly, it is without prejudice to the rights of the Litigation Administrator or any other party in interest to object to any of the claims listed on **Schedule 1** to **Exhibit A** on any grounds whatsoever, and the Litigation Administrator expressly reserves all further substantive or procedural objections he may have. The Litigation Administrator further reserves the right to seek an adjournment of the Hearing on any response to this Objection, which adjournment will be noted on the notice of agenda for the Hearing. The agenda will be served on the person designated by the claimant in its response.

25. Notwithstanding anything contained in this Objection, or the exhibits and schedules attached hereto, nothing herein will be construed as a waiver of any rights that the Litigation Administrator may have to enforce rights of setoff against the claimants.

¹⁶ See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 3007(a).

¹⁷ See Objection Procedures Order, Ex. 1, ¶ 5.

¹⁸ See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(a).

Motion Practice

26. This Objection includes citations to the applicable rules and statutory authorities upon which the relief requested herein is predicated and a discussion of its application to this motion. Accordingly, the Litigation Administrator submits that this Objection satisfies Local Rule 9013-1(a).

Notice

27. The Litigation Administrator will provide notice of this Objection to the following parties or their respective counsel: (a) the U.S. Trustee; (b) counsel to the Post-Effective Date Debtors; (c) the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York; (d) the Internal Revenue Service; (e) the offices of the attorneys general in the states in which the Debtors operate; (f) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (g) the holders of the claims identified on **Schedule 1** of **Exhibit A**; and (h) any party that has requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Litigation Administrator submits that, in light of the nature of the relief requested, no other or further notice need be given.

No Prior Request

28. No prior request for the relief sought in this Objection has been made to this or any other court.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

WHEREFORE, the Litigation Administrator respectfully requests that the Court enter the Order granting the relief requested herein and such other relief as the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

New York, New York
Dated November 26, 2024

WHITE & CASE LLP
Samuel P. Hershey
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020
Telephone: (212) 819-8200
Facsimile: (212) 354-8113
Email: sam.hershey@whitecase.com

– and –

WHITE & CASE LLP
Ronald Gorsich (admitted *pro hac vice*)
555 South Flower Street, Suite 2700
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 620-7700
Facsimile: (213) 452-2329
Email: rgorsich@whitecase.com

– and –

WHITE & CASE LLP
Gregory F. Pesce (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Laura Baccash (admitted *pro hac vice*)
111 South Wacker Drive, Suite 5100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone: (312) 881-5400
Facsimile: (312) 881-5450
Email: gregory.pesce@whitecase.com
laura.baccash@whitecase.com

/s/ Seth H. Lieberman
PRYOR CASHMAN LLP
Seth H. Lieberman
Matthew W. Silverman
Andrew S. Richmond
7 Times Square
New York, New York 10036
Telephone: (212) 421-4100
Facsimile: (212) 326-0806
Email: slieberman@pryorcashman.com
msilverman@pryorcashman.com
arichmond@pryorcashman.com

*Co-Counsel to Mohsin Y. Meghji as Litigation
Administrator*